

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,312	06/21/2005	David A. Eves	GB 030150	1622
24737 7590 02/21/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			GADDY, BENJAMIN E	
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/540,312	EVES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BENJAMIN E. GADDY	4181				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21.	June 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·— ··	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6-8 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 June 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/31/2007</u>. 	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) p(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/540,312

Art Unit: 4181

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 6, 7, 8, and 12 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only and/or cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6-8 and 12 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holt (US 5,960,447) in view of Williams (US 6,308,154).

Consider claims 1 and 9: Holt discloses processing an audio signal (see Abstract) comprising receiving an audio signal (see Col. 3, lines 2-10, where Holt discusses a microphone), extracting features from the audio signal (see Col. 3, lines 15-20, where Holt discloses developing text words), and translating the extracted features into metadata (see Col. 3, lines 60-67, where Holt discusses related information), the metadata comprising a markup (see Col. 4, lines 1-10, where Holt discusses tag information).

Application/Control Number: 10/540,312

Art Unit: 4181

Holt does not specifically disclose instruction set of a markup language, however Williams discloses instruction set of a markup language (see Col. 3, lines 2-8, where Williams discusses speech attributes are encoded using a markup language and markup indicators). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Holt, and use instruction set of a markup language as taught by Williams, thus allowing measurement and encoding of recognized content, as discussed by Williams (see Col. 1, lines 52-57).

Consider claims 2 and 10: Holt discloses storing the metadata (see Col. 4, lines 8-12, where Holt discusses storing).

Consider claim 3: Holt discloses storing the metadata with associated time data (see Col. 4, lines 4-7, where Holt discusses a time code).

Consider claim 4: Holt discloses time data defines the start time and the duration, relative to the received audio signal, of each markup language term (see Col. 4, lines 4-7, where Holt discusses a time code).

Consider claim 5: The combination of the above discloses transmitting the instruction set to a browser.

Consider claim 11: Holt discloses an output device for outputting the received audio signal (see, e.g., Figure 2, part 66, a speaker).

Application/Control Number: 10/540,312

Art Unit: 4181

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BENJAMIN E. GADDY whose telephone number is (571)270-5134. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 9am - 4pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Benjamin E. Gaddy

/Benjamin E Gaddy/

Examiner, Art Unit 4181

2/12/2008

NICK CORSARO NICK CORSARO PATENT EXAMINEP PATENT EXAMINEP